AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION	N/MODIF	FICATION OF CO	NTRACT	1. CONTRACT ID C	ODE	PAGE OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. TWO	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 4. REQUISITION / PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. 3/19/2009					ROJECT NO. (If applicable)
 ISSUED BY National Institutes of Health, DHHS Office of Acquisitions, OLAO 6011 Executive Boulevard, Room 539-C Rockville, Maryland 20892-7663 	CODE		Terita Stev Office of A 6011 Exec	enson, Contract S cquisitions, OLAC cutive Boulevard, F Maryland 20892-7	pecialist Room 53	t 39-C
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (N	o. street, city,	county, State and ZIP Co	ode) (X) 9A. AMENDMEN	IT OF SO	LICITATION NO.
				9B. DATED (SEE	E ITEM 11	')
				10A. MODIFICATIO	N OF CON	TRACT/ORDER NO.
				X NIHOD200909		
CODE	EACILIT	Y CODE		10B. DATED (SEE	E ITEM 13)	ŧ .
			ENDMENTS OF	SOLICITATIONS		
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Ite					tended,	is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to th						
THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS of this amendment you desire to change an offer already subm solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the op 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA 13. THIS ITEM AP IT MODIFIES (X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAIL C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)	itted, such chan pening hour and (If required) PLIES OF THE CON D: (Specify author) MODIFIED TO F R 43.103(b).	ge may be made by telegram date specified. NLY TO MODIFIC ITRACT/ORDER orthy) THE CHANGES SET FREFLECT THE ADMINISTRA	CATIONS OF CO	ONTRACTS/ORDE IBED IN ITEM 14. DE IN THE CONTRACT ORDE	RS.	
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor X is not,	is re	equired to sign this do	cument and return	copies to the iss	uing office	9 .
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS MODIFICATION DUE DATE OF APRIL 2, 2009, AT 2:00 ISTANDARD TIME. Bid Samples shall be 539C, Bethesda, MD 20892. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the control of the c	ON IS TO PM. THE shipped	POST THE REVI E DUE DATE FOR I to: Terita Steve	A. as heretofore change	PRIL 2, 2009 AT 2: Specialist, 6011 Ex d, remains unchanged and TILE OF CONTRACTION, Contract Specia	STIONI 00 P.M. xecutive	NAIRE WITH THE EASTERN Blvd., Room
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			(Signate	re of Contracting Officer)		-
1-0) FT mon to u.S.y			, (DIAMIN	of worth brooks Office!)		1

National Institutes of Health CONTRACT PERFORMANCE RFP CUSTOMER SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

Please complete the following questionnaire and return via fax to 301-480-1203 or 301-480-1146 to the attention of Terita Stevenson or email this form to stevenst@od.nih.gov. Form must be returned by 04/02/09 at 2:00 pm ET directly from the evaluator.

Baseline Information
This survey pertains to the company:
Date of Survey:
Name of Person completing survey:
Your company/Agency:
Contract Number(s):
Your role in this contract:
Contracting Officer Contract Specialist Project Officer Other:
Contract Award Date:
Contract Expiration Date:
Contract Value including all option and option periods
Type of Contract:
Approximate percentage of work being performed (or completed) by subcontractor(s):
Subcontracting company names with Program Manager and phone numbers
General description of products/services required under the contract:

Quality of Product or Service

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfa	resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance c	Non-conformances are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards containing similar requirements.								
Poor	Overall compliance requires significant Agency re-	eveme	/ement of contract requirements.							
Fair Overall compliance requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements										
Good	There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, a	nd the Co	ntracto	or has	met the	e conti	ract requ	irements.		
Excellen	There are no quality issues, and the Contractor requirements without commensurate additional cost					ed the	e contra	act performance		
Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for other the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those reperformance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Exceeding the contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for other than the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those reperformance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Exceeding the score is a second to the					, so tha	at it ju	istifies a	dding a point to		
		1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
1 (Compliance with contract requirements									
2 A	Accuracy of Reports									
3 I	Effectiveness of personnel					<u> </u>				
4 7	Technical excellence						_ =			

Cost Control

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory	Ability to manage cost issues is jeopardizing performance of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, this level of ability to manage cost issues constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.
Poor	Ability to manage cost issues requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Fair	Ability to control cost issues requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Good	There are no, or very minimal, cost management issues and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.
Excellent	There are no cost management issues and the Contractor has exceeded the contract requirements, achieving cost savings to the Government.
Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where the contractor achieved cost savings and performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Record of forecasting and controlling target costs						
2	Current accurate and complete billings						

3	Relationship of negotiated costs to actuals			
4	Cost efficiencies			

Timeliness of Performance

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsa	atisfactory	Delays are jeopardizing the achievement of Recovery is not likely. If performance cannimpediment in consideration for future awards.													
Poor Delays require significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. Fair Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.															
										Goo	đ	There are no, or minimal, delays that impact ach	ievement of	contra	ct requ
Exce	ellent	There are no delays and the contractor has excee	ded the agre	ed up	on time	sched	ule								
Outs	tanding	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding is expected that this rating will be used in the exceeds the performance levels described as "Ex	se rare circu												
			1	2	3	14	5	N/A							
1	Met int	erim milestones		1											
2	Reliabi	lity													
2	D	-! 4- 41!1 1!						 							

L		1.4		2	"	1 2	14/77
1	Met interim milestones						
2	Reliability		1				
3	Responsive to technical direction						
4	Completed on time including wrap-up and contract administration						
5	Met delivery schedules				T		
6	No liquidated damages assessed				1	1	

Business Relations

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues are not effective. If not substantially mitigated or corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.
Poor	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues are marginally effective.
Good	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues are consistently effective.
Excellent	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues exceed Government expectation
Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent"

		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Effective management, including subcontracts						,
2	Reasonable/Cooperative behavior		T .				
3	Responsive to contract requirements						
4	Notification of problems						
5	Flexibility						
6	Pro-active vs. reactive						
7	Effective small/small disadvantaged business subcontracting program						

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

	Yes	No
The contractor is committed to customer satisfaction.		
Would you select this firm again?		

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: